



**UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
Patent and Trademark Office**

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington, DC 20231

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.
08/905,701	08/04/97	ISOM	F

RHODES COATS & BENNETT
909 GLENWOOD AVENUE
POST OFFICE BOX 5
RALEIGH NC 27602

LM51/0801

EXAMINER

OPIE, G

ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER

2755

DATE MAILED: 08/01/00

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	08/905,701	Fred Steven Isom	
	George L. Opie	Art Unit	
		2755	

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

- (1) David Bennett (Applicant's Representative) . (3)
 (2) George Opie (PTO). (4)

Date of Interview: 7/13/00 .

Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference

c) ☐ Personal copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No.

If Yes, brief description:

Claim(s) discussed: 1 and 14 .

Identification of prior art discussed: U.S. Patent 5,623,592


Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☒ was not reached. h) ☐ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Applicant indicated that the limitation of a changeable directional attribute was an important feature of the claimed sequencing of task objects. Applicant proposed adding claim language which would detail the user portion of the changeable directional attribute. Applicant also reviewed the limitation of the directional field having at least two dimensions and how the prior art references related to this. Applicant was advised that if the proposed claim modifications were made, the amendment would not be entered because it would require further search and consideration.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

- i) ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview(if box is checked).

Unless the paragraph above has been checked, THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.


 ALVIN E. OBERLEY
 SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
 GROUP 2700

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

 Examiner's signature, if required